



MEMORANDUM

TO: All Faculty

FROM: David Wilson
Associate Dean (Education)

DATE: 9 August 2004

SUBJECT: Teaching Feedback Autumn 2004

Please find attached an analysis of the Autumn 2004 Subject Feedback Survey (SFS). The ratings shown are either the average of a 5 point Likert scale or percentages of responses against points on a 5 point Likert scale.

The following subjects have been identified as performing particularly well (overall satisfaction 4.0 or greater; no rating below 3.5; responses 20 or greater; percentage response greater than 50%):

Sub Code	Subject Name	Resp	Question							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
31099	Database Programming and Administration	59	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.1

Congratulations to the relevant Subject Coordinator (and other lecturing and tutoring staff): Laurie Benkovich (31099).

The following subjects have similar outstanding results but do not meet the criteria on number of respondents:

Sub Code	Subject Name	Resp	Question							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
31002	Introduction to Computer Game Design	12	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.8	4.2
31034	Advanced Communication for IT	14	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.4
31080	Digital Multimedia	17	4.2	3.6	3.5	4.2	3.6	3.6	3.9	4.0
31603	3D Computer Animation	13	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
31605	Computer Graphics Rendering Techniques	15	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6

Congratulations to the relevant Subject Coordinators (and other lecturing and tutoring staff): Yusuf Pisan (31002), Deborah Nixon (ELSSA) (31034), Tony Jan (31080) and Kevin Suffern (31603 and 31605).

Overall, particularly pleasing is the continued improvement in Question 7 (I received constructive feedback when needed), an area that the Faculty has targeted in the last three years. This question scored 52% strongly agree / agree against 43% in Autumn 2003. However, there is still room for improvement and the key improvement areas will remain student pressure, workload and assessment feedback.

Thank you for your efforts in Autumn 2004. The pleasing results are as a direct result of your efforts. If you have any questions regarding these results, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Lecturer's Name: Yusuf Pisan	6:00pm - 04/06/2004
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 30
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Programming Design	No. of Responses: 13

Order No: 21	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. It was always easy to know the standard of work expected.	3.2 (0.8)	0	SA	0
		5	A	42
		4	N	33
		3	D	25
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
2. The subject developed my problem solving skills.	3.8 (0.9)	2	SA	17
		6	A	50
		3	N	25
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
3. The teaching staff on this subject motivated me to do my best work.	3.8 (1.1)	3	SA	27
		5	A	45
		1	N	9
		2	D	18
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		2	No Response	
4. The workload was too heavy.	3.4 (0.7)	0	SA	0
		5	A	45
		5	N	45
		1	D	9
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		2	No Response	
5. The subject sharpened my analytic skills.	3.5 (0.9)	1	SA	8
		6	A	50
		3	N	25
		2	D	17
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
6. I usually had a clear idea of where I was going and what was expected of me in this subject.	3.7 (1.0)	2	SA	17
		6	A	50
		2	N	17
		2	D	17
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
7. Staff put a lot of time into commenting on my work.	3.8 (0.7)	1	SA	8
		9	A	75
		1	N	8
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
8. To do well on this subject all you really needed was a good memory.	1.5 (0.5)	0	SA	0
		0	A	0
		0	N	0
		6	D	50
		6	SD	50
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
9. The subject helped me to develop my ability to work as a team member.	3.5 (1.1)	2	SA	18
		3	A	27
		5	N	45
		0	D	0
		1	SD	9
		1	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Lecturer's Name: Yusuf Pisan	6:00pm - 04/06/2004
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 30
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Programming Design	No. of Responses: 13

Order No: 21	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
10. As a result of my subject, I feel confident about tackling unfamiliar problems.	3.8 (0.8)	2	SA	17
		5	A	42
		5	N	42
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		1	No Response	
11. The subject improved my skills in written communication.	3.6 (0.8)	1	SA	8
		6	A	50
		4	N	33
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
12. Staff seemed more interested in testing what I had memorised than what I had understood.	1.4 (0.7)	0	SA	0
		0	A	0
		1	N	8
		3	D	25
		8	SD	67
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
13. It was often hard to discover what was expected of me in this subject.	2.4 (0.5)	0	SA	0
		0	A	0
		4	N	36
		7	D	64
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		2	No Response	
14. I was generally given enough time to understand the things I had to learn.	3.6 (0.9)	2	SA	17
		4	A	33
		5	N	42
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
15. The staff made a real effort to understand difficulties I might be having with my work.	3.3 (1.0)	1	SA	8
		5	A	42
		3	N	25
		3	D	25
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
16. The assessment methods employed in this subject required an in-depth understanding.	3.7 (0.8)	1	SA	8
		7	A	58
		3	N	25
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
17. Teaching staff normally gave me helpful feedback on how I was going.	3.9 (0.7)	2	SA	17
		7	A	58
		3	N	25
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
18. My lecturer(s) were extremely good at explaining things.	3.8 (1.0)	3	SA	25
		6	A	50
		1	N	8
		2	D	17
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Lecturer's Name: Yusuf Pisan	6:00pm - 04/06/2004
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 30
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Programming Design	No. of Responses: 13

Order No: 21	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
19. Too many questions asked were just about facts.	1.8 (1.1)	0	SA	0
		1	A	8
		2	N	17
		2	D	17
		7	SD	58
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
20. Teaching staff worked hard to make this subject interesting.	4.3 (1.1)	8	SA	67
		1	A	8
		2	N	17
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
21. There was a lot of pressure on me as a student in this subject.	2.9 (1.1)	0	SA	0
		4	A	33
		5	N	42
		1	D	8
		2	SD	17
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
22. This subject helped me to develop my ability to plan my own work.	3.6 (1.2)	2	SA	17
		6	A	50
		2	N	17
		1	D	8
		1	SD	8
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
23. The sheer volume of work to be got through in this subject meant that it couldn't all be thoroughly comprehended.	2.8 (0.8)	0	SA	0
		1	A	8
		8	N	67
		2	D	17
		1	SD	8
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
24. The staff made it clear from the start what was expected of students.	3.5 (0.7)	0	SA	0
		7	A	58
		4	N	33
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
25. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	4.3 (1.0)	6	SA	55
		3	A	27
		1	N	9
		1	D	9
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		2	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Lecturer's Name: Yusuf Pisan	6:00pm - 04/06/2004
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 30
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Programming Design	No. of Responses: 13

Order No: 21	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
26. All things considered, how would you rate the teaching of this lecturer in this subject?	5.8 (1.3)	4	E	33
		4	VG	33
		2	G	17
		1	S	8
		1	NQS	8
		0	P	0
		0	VP	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		1	No Response	0

Scale:	VP	P	NQS	S	G	VG	E
	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Not Quite Satisfactory (3)	Satisfactory (4)	Good (5)	Very Good (6)	Excellent (7)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Subject Coordinator's Name: Yusuf Pisan	00:00am - 01/01/2004
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 20
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Game Design	No. of Responses: 13

Order No: 1133	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. This subject was relevant to me.	4.3 (0.8)	6	SA	50
		4	A	33
		2	N	17
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
2. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	4.2 (0.7)	4	SA	33
		6	A	50
		2	N	17
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
3. I had a clear idea of what was expected of me in this subject.	3.9 (0.8)	2	SA	17
		8	A	67
		1	N	8
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
4. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	4.3 (1.0)	7	SA	58
		3	A	25
		1	N	8
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
5. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	3.9 (0.7)	2	SA	17
		7	A	58
		3	N	25
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
6. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	4.0 (0.6)	2	SA	17
		8	A	67
		2	N	17
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
7. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.8 (1.1)	4	SA	33
		4	A	33
		2	N	17
		2	D	17
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
8. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	4.2 (0.9)	5	SA	42
		5	A	42
		1	N	8
		1	D	8
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Subject Coordinator's Name: Various	No. of Classes: 56
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: 4814
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: 2292

Autumn 2004 - Information Technology	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. This subject was relevant to me.	3.7 (1.0)	431	SA	19
		1064	A	47
		467	N	21
		181	D	8
		116	SD	5
		9	Not Applicable	
		24	No Response	
2. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	3.5 (1.0)	263	SA	12
		1040	A	46
		623	N	28
		213	D	9
		118	SD	5
		8	Not Applicable	
		27	No Response	
3. I had a clear idea of what was expected of me in this subject.	3.3 (1.1)	254	SA	11
		871	A	39
		605	N	27
		357	D	16
		164	SD	7
		12	Not Applicable	
		29	No Response	
4. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	3.3 (1.2)	308	SA	14
		798	A	35
		595	N	26
		352	D	16
		202	SD	9
		7	Not Applicable	
		30	No Response	
5. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	3.2 (1.1)	208	SA	9
		833	A	38
		650	N	29
		305	D	14
		223	SD	10
		37	Not Applicable	
		36	No Response	
6. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	3.3 (1.1)	255	SA	11
		837	A	37
		621	N	28
		334	D	15
		204	SD	9
		8	Not Applicable	
		33	No Response	
7. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.2 (1.1)	244	SA	11
		731	A	33
		750	N	34
		312	D	14
		194	SD	9
		23	Not Applicable	
		38	No Response	
8. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.3 (1.1)	223	SA	10
		927	A	42
		581	N	26
		310	D	14
		172	SD	8
		11	Not Applicable	
		68	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2004

Subject Coordinator's Name: Various	No. of Classes: 1137
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: 78819
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: 37187

Autumn 2004 - All Faculties	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%		
1. This subject was relevant to me.	4.0 (0.9)	10545	SA		29	
		17493	A			48
		5837	N			16
		1783	D			5
		811	SD			2
		85	Not Applicable			
		633	No Response			
2. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	3.8 (0.9)	6971	SA		19	
		18391	A			51
		7787	N			21
		2290	D			6
		958	SD			3
		91	Not Applicable			
		699	No Response			
3. I had a clear idea of what was expected of me in this subject.	3.6 (1.0)	5786	SA		16	
		15863	A			44
		8813	N			24
		4199	D			12
		1622	SD			4
		72	Not Applicable			
		832	No Response			
4. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	3.7 (1.0)	7601	SA		21	
		15537	A			43
		8471	N			23
		3191	D			9
		1556	SD			4
		56	Not Applicable			
		775	No Response			
5. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	3.6 (1.0)	5732	SA		16	
		16716	A			47
		8786	N			25
		3014	D			8
		1489	SD			4
		520	Not Applicable			
		930	No Response			
6. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	3.6 (1.0)	5257	SA		15	
		16351	A			45
		9471	N			26
		3832	D			11
		1329	SD			4
		137	Not Applicable			
		810	No Response			
7. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.6 (1.0)	6422	SA		18	
		14834	A			41
		9720	N			27
		3427	D			10
		1512	SD			4
		439	Not Applicable			
		833	No Response			
8. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.7 (1.0)	6201	SA		17	
		17669	A			49
		7692	N			21
		2881	D			8
		1379	SD			4
		54	Not Applicable			
		1311	No Response			

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)