

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Staff Member's Name: Various	No. of Classes: Various
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: Various
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: Various

Entire University	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%	
1. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	3.92 (0.77)	10077	SA	20	
		30152	A	58	
		9218	N	18	
		1588	D	3	
		607	SD	1	
		149	Not Applicable		
		375	No Response		
			Total		
		No. of Classes: 2462	51642	Total	
		2. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	3.78 (0.96)	11292	SA
24244	A			47	
11208	N			22	
3521	D			7	
1470	SD			3	
109	Not Applicable				
334	No Response				
	Total				
No. of Classes: 2463	51735			Total	
3. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	3.71 (0.92)			8636	SA
		25107	A	49	
		12117	N	24	
		3674	D	7	
		1386	SD	3	
		712	Not Applicable		
		546	No Response		
			Total		
		No. of Classes: 2463	50920	Total	
		4. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	3.69 (0.93)	8852	SA
24899	A			48	
12395	N			24	
4136	D			8	
1339	SD			3	
178	Not Applicable				
379	No Response				
	Total				
No. of Classes: 2463	51621			Total	
5. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.70 (0.96)			10143	SA
		22294	A	44	
		13373	N	26	
		3957	D	8	
		1419	SD	3	
		601	Not Applicable		
		391	No Response		
			Total		
		No. of Classes: 2463	51186	Total	
		6. The teacher appears to be well prepared and presents the material in a well organised manner.	4.02 (0.94)	18717	SA
24497	A			44	
8349	N			15	
2620	D			5	
1287	SD			2	
415	Not Applicable				
1347	No Response				
	Total				
No. of Classes: 2454	55470			Total	
7. The teacher is able to explain concepts clearly.	3.97 (0.95)			17406	SA
		24833	A	45	
		9160	N	16	
		2942	D	5	
		1278	SD	2	
		403	Not Applicable		
		1370	No Response		
			Total		
		No. of Classes: 2455	55619	Total	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Staff Member's Name: Various	No. of Classes: Various
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: Various
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: Various

Entire University	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
8. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching of this staff member.	3.97	16805	SA	30
	(0.94)	25581	A	46
		9097	N	16
		2748	D	5
		1361	SD	2
		390	Not Applicable	
		1410	No Response	
	No. of Classes: 2455		55592	Total
9. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.76	9784	SA	19
	(0.93)	25846	A	50
		11096	N	22
		3372	D	7
		1430	SD	3
		113	Not Applicable	
		525	No Response	
	No. of Classes: 2458		51546	Total

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Staff Member's Name: Various	No. of Classes: Various
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: Various
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: Various

Faculty - Information Technology	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.62 (0.99)	443	SA	17
		1242	A	46
		639	N	24
		246	D	9
		106	SD	4
		6	Not Applicable	
		17	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2676	Total	
2. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	3.87 (0.81)	502	SA	19
		1499	A	56
		523	N	20
		107	D	4
		40	SD	1
		6	Not Applicable	
		22	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2671	Total	
3. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	3.69 (1.01)	527	SA	20
		1255	A	47
		559	N	21
		225	D	8
		112	SD	4
		5	Not Applicable	
		16	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2678	Total	
4. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	3.64 (0.97)	424	SA	16
		1238	A	48
		642	N	25
		193	D	7
		107	SD	4
		70	Not Applicable	
		25	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2604	Total	
5. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	3.60 (1.01)	453	SA	17
		1178	A	44
		656	N	25
		278	D	10
		102	SD	4
		8	Not Applicable	
		24	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2667	Total	
6. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.65 (0.98)	485	SA	18
		1120	A	43
		725	N	28
		213	D	8
		89	SD	3
		53	Not Applicable	
		14	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2632	Total	
7. The teacher appears to be well prepared and presents the material in a well organised manner.	3.94 (0.98)	823	SA	31
		1175	A	44
		453	N	17
		146	D	5
		77	SD	3
		7	Not Applicable	
		18	No Response	
No. of Classes: 123		2674	Total	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Staff Member's Name: Various	No. of Classes: Various
Subject Code: Various	No. Enrolled: Various
Subject Name: Various	No. of Responses: Various

Faculty - Information Technology	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%		
8. The teacher is able to explain concepts clearly.	3.88 (0.98)	740	SA	28		
		1193	A	45		
		489	N	18		
		183	D	7		
		73	SD	3		
		7	Not Applicable			
		14	No Response			
		No. of Classes: 123		2678	Total	
		9. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching of this staff member.	3.86 (0.99)	719	SA	27
				1218	A	45
489	N			18		
166	D			6		
88	SD			3		
6	Not Applicable					
13	No Response					
No. of Classes: 123				2680	Total	
10. The tutorials assisted my understanding of the subject.	3.66 (1.02)			448	SA	18
				1222	A	48
		552	N	22		
		190	D	7		
		130	SD	5		
		119	Not Applicable			
		33	No Response			
		No. of Classes: 122		2542	Total	
		11. The laboratories assisted my understanding of the subject.	3.61 (1.03)	379	SA	18
				903	A	43
560	N			27		
150	D			7		
112	SD			5		
485	Not Applicable					
105	No Response					
No. of Classes: 122				2104	Total	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Subject Coordinator's Name: Yusuf Pisan	18:00 - 01/01/2006
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 28
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Game Design	No. of Responses: 11

Order No.: 1529	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	4.09 (0.54)	2	SA	18
		8	A	73
		1	N	9
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
2. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	4.18 (0.60)	3	SA	27
		7	A	64
		1	N	9
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
3. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	4.18 (0.75)	4	SA	36
		5	A	45
		2	N	18
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
4. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	4.09 (0.54)	2	SA	18
		8	A	73
		1	N	9
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
5. I received constructive feedback when needed.	4.18 (0.75)	4	SA	36
		5	A	45
		2	N	18
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
6. The teacher appears to be well prepared and presents the material in a well organised manner.	4.09 (0.70)	3	SA	27
		6	A	55
		2	N	18
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
7. The teacher is able to explain concepts clearly.	3.91 (0.54)	1	SA	9
		8	A	73
		2	N	18
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
8. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching of this staff member.	4.09 (0.54)	2	SA	18
		8	A	73
		1	N	9
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
9. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.73 (0.65)	1	SA	9
		6	A	55
		4	N	36
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Subject Coordinator's Name: Yusuf Pisan	18:00 - 01/01/2006
Subject Code: 31002	No. Enrolled: 28
Subject Name: Introduction to Computer Game Design	No. of Responses: 11

Order No.: 1529	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
10. The tutorials assisted my understanding of the subject.	3.80 (0.79)	1	SA	10
		7	A	70
		1	N	10
		1	D	10
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
11. The laboratories assisted my understanding of the subject.	3.82 (0.75)	1	SA	9
		8	A	73
		1	N	9
		1	D	9
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Subject Coordinator's Name: Yusuf Pisan	18:00 - 01/01/2006
Subject Code: 32003	No. Enrolled: 5
Subject Name: Computer Game Design	No. of Responses: 4

Order No.: 1597	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. The subject was delivered in a way which was consistent with its stated objectives.	4.25 (0.50)	1	SA	25
		3	A	75
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
2. My learning experiences in this subject were interesting and thought provoking.	3.75 (0.96)	1	SA	25
		1	A	25
		2	N	50
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
3. I found the assessment fair and reasonable.	4.25 (0.50)	1	SA	25
		3	A	75
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
4. There were appropriate resources available to support the subject.	4.00 (0.82)	1	SA	25
		2	A	50
		1	N	25
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
5. I received constructive feedback when needed.	3.75 (0.96)	1	SA	25
		1	A	25
		2	N	50
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
6. The teacher appears to be well prepared and presents the material in a well organised manner.	3.50 (1.29)	1	SA	25
		1	A	25
		1	N	25
		1	D	25
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
7. The teacher is able to explain concepts clearly.	3.50 (0.58)	0	SA	0
		2	A	50
		2	N	50
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
8. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching of this staff member.	4.00 (0.82)	1	SA	25
		2	A	50
		1	N	25
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
9. Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this subject.	3.75 (0.96)	1	SA	25
		1	A	25
		2	N	50
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

Student Feedback Results: Autumn 2006

Subject Coordinator's Name: Yusuf Pisan	18:00 - 01/01/2006
Subject Code: 32003	No. Enrolled: 5
Subject Name: Computer Game Design	No. of Responses: 4

Order No.: 1597	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
10. The tutorials assisted my understanding of the subject.	4.50 (0.58)	2	SA	50
		2	A	50
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
11. The laboratories assisted my understanding of the subject.	4.33 (0.58)	1	SA	33
		2	A	67
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)